

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

Session 2015

**Legislative Incarceration Fiscal Note
REVISED**

BILL NUMBER: Senate Bill 83 (Second Edition)
SHORT TITLE: Criminal Law/Filing False Document.
SPONSOR(S): Senator Bingham

FISCAL IMPACT (\$ in millions)					
	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Estimate Available				
	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20
State Impact					
General Fund Revenues:					
General Fund Expenditures					
State Positions:					
NET STATE IMPACT	Likely budget cost. See Assumptions & Methodology section for additional details.				
PRINCIPAL DEPARTMENT(S) & PROGRAM(S) AFFECTED:					
Administrative Office of the Courts; Office of Indigent Defense Services; Department of Public Safety					
EFFECTIVE DATE: December 1, 2015					
TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS:					
None					

Revision Note: *This note has been revised to correct the fiscal year column headers in the table above.*

FISCAL IMPACT SUMMARY:

The proposed bill may have a fiscal impact to address a new chargeable offense being enforced, adjudicated, and having penalties applied to those convicted of the new offense. However, given that there is no historical data on this new offense or similar offenses to use as a proxy for predicting the total number of new offenses, the Fiscal Research Division cannot reasonably estimate the total additional costs that may be incurred. The following costs may be incurred for every one person charged and convicted of this crime:

- Administrative Office of the Courts: \$365 per disposition
- Indigent Defense Services: \$315 per disposition
- Prison Section: (\$11.23 per inmate per day)
- Community Corrections: Minimum of \$1,175

Please see the Assumptions and Methodology section for additional information.

BILL SUMMARY:

This bill creates a new section, G.S. 14-401.26, Filing false documents. This new section creates a Class I felony offense for a person to present false documents to the register of deeds or clerk of superior court with the intent to alter an identity, defraud another person or entity, or circumvent legal name change procedures.

ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY:

General

The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission prepares prison population projections for each bill containing a criminal penalty. The Commission assumes for such bills that expanding existing or creating new criminal offenses produces no deterrent or incapacitative effect on crime. Therefore, the Fiscal Research Division does not assume deterrent effects for any criminal penalty bill.

S.L. 2011-192 (H.B. 642), the Justice Reinvestment Act (JRA), made changes to North Carolina's court system, corrections system (both to prisons and probation), and to post-release supervision. All F-I felons are now subject to nine months of post-release supervision (PRS). B1-E felony PRS has been increased from nine months to twelve months. Due to the lack of historical data about JRA implementation, it is not possible to estimate the number of prison beds that may be needed as a result of revocations.

JRA also created the Statewide Misdemeanant Confinement Program (SMCP) for housing misdemeanants with sentences between 90 and 180 days in county jails (misdemeanants with shorter sentences were already the responsibility of the counties). County participation in the program is voluntary. The SMCP pays participating counties for misdemeanants' housing, transportation, and medical costs. In 2014, the program was expanded to include all misdemeanants with sentences longer than 90 days. The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission does not track county jail capacity, so it is not possible to estimate the impact of new or increased misdemeanor penalties on county jails.

Since the bill creates a new offense, the Sentencing Commission does not have any historical data from which to estimate the impact of this bill on the prison population. It is not known how many offenders might be convicted and sentenced under the new statute.

Judicial Branch

The Administrative Office of the Courts provides Fiscal Research with a fiscal impact analysis for most criminal penalty bills. For such bills, fiscal impact is typically based on the assumption that court time will increase due to anticipated increases in trials and corresponding increases in workload for judges, clerks, and prosecutors. This increased court time is also expected to result in greater expenditures for jury fees and indigent defense.

Section 1 of the bill creates a new Class I felony offense. Because this is a new offense, AOC does not have historical data upon which to estimate the number of charges that might occur. AOC provides estimates of the average cost to the court for a charge by offense class. For every additional person charged with a Class I felony, the average cost to the court would be \$365.

Sixty-eight percent of cases at this offense class are handled through the Office of Indigent Defense Services (IDS). IDS provides Fiscal Research with a fiscal impact analysis for criminal penalty bills that will result in greater expenditures for indigent defense. The cost of a new Class I felony will be \$315 per case for a private appointed counsel (PAC) attorney. This estimate is a weighted average of IDS costs including the cost differentials for district and superior court with and without a trial and the percentage of cases handled in each category. This estimate assumes the appointment of a PAC attorney. In districts that

have Public Defender offices, cases may be handled by those offices. In those instances, this cost may not be incurred.

Department of Public Safety –Prison Section

The chart below depicts the projected inmate population relative to available prison bed capacity system-wide. Capacity projections assume operation at Expanded Operating Capacity,¹ and represent the total number of beds in operation, or authorized for construction or operation as of December 2014.

Based on the most recent population projections and estimated bed capacity, there are surplus prison beds available for the five-year fiscal note horizon and beyond. Therefore, no additional beds will be required unless the projected number of additional inmates resulting from a bill (row four) exceeds the projected number of beds under the inmate population (row three). Since this bill creates a new offense, the Sentencing Commission does not have any historical data from which to estimate the impact of this bill on the prison population and any additional prison beds that may be needed.

Population Projections and Bed Capacity Five Year Impact					
	June 30 2016	June 30 2017	June 30 2018	June 30 2019	June 30 2020
1. Inmates ²	37,360	37,522	37,348	37,462	37,610
2. Prison Beds (Expanded Capacity)	38,749	38,749	38,749	38,749	38,749
3. Beds Over/(Under) Inmate Population	1,389	1,227	1,401	1,287	1,139
4. Additional Inmates Due to this Bill³	No estimate available				
5. Additional Beds Required					

In addition to the capital costs that may be associated with additional bed needs, there are also per diem costs for housing inmates. The cost to add one additional inmate to the prison system is \$11.53 per day, or \$351 per month, which includes the cost of food, clothing, and health care. In FY 2013-14, 16 percent of Class I felony offenders received active sentences averaging six months. For every one Class I felony offender receiving an active sentence, the cost to the prison section will be \$2,106 (\$351 monthly cost times six months equals \$2,106).

Department of Public Safety – Community Correction Section

All active sentences for felony offenses now result in a minimum of twelve months of post-release supervision (PRS) for B1-E level offenses and a minimum of nine months of PRS for F-I level offenses. Additionally, for felony offense classes E through I offenders may be given non-active (intermediate or community) sentences exclusively, or in conjunction with imprisonment (split-sentence). Sanctions include electronic house arrest, community service, substance abuse treatment, participation in educational or

¹ Expanded Operating Capacity (EOC) is: 1) the number of single cells housing one inmate, 2) the number of single cells housing two inmates, and 3) the number of beds in dormitories, allowing between 35 (130% of Standard Operating Capacity) and 50 (SOC) square feet per inmate.

² The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission prepares inmate population projections annually. These projections are derived from: historical information on incarceration and release rates under Structured Sentencing; crime rate forecasts by a technical advisory group; probation and offender revocation rates; and the decline (parole and max-outs) of the stock prison population sentenced under prior sentencing acts. Projections were updated in February 2015.

³ Criminal penalty bills effective December 1, 2015 should not affect prison population and bed needs until FY 2016-17 due to the lag time between offense charge and sentencing - six months on average. No delayed effect is presumed for the Court System.

vocational skills development, payment of court costs, fines, and restitution, and short-term jail sentences not exceeding six days per month.

JRA essentially eliminated the distinction between “community” and “intermediate” supervision. Under structured sentencing, the two types of supervision were each defined by a set of specific sanctions. Under JRA, both community and intermediate probation may now include electronic monitoring, short-term periods of confinement, substance abuse assessment, monitoring, and treatment, participation in educational programs or vocational skills development. Whether a probationer is subject to more stringent conditions is determined by the results of a risk-needs assessment administered by the Department of Public Safety.

All types of post-release supervision are supervised by the Community Corrections Section (CCS); CCS also oversees community service. Supervision by a probation officer costs \$130.50 per offender, per month; no cost is assumed for those receiving unsupervised probation, or who are only ordered to pay fines, fees, or restitution. Total costs are based on average supervision length and the percentage of offenders (per offense class) sentenced to active sentences requiring post-release supervision and supervised probations.

In FY 2013-14, 16% of Class I felony offenders received active sentences; 84% received probation. All active sentences result in nine months of post-release supervision (PRS). The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 23 months. Therefore, at a minimum, one conviction resulting from Section 1 of this bill will require at least nine months of supervision. The cost of nine months of supervision is \$1,175 per offender (\$130.50 per month times 9 months).⁴

SOURCES OF DATA: Department of Public Safety; Administrative Office of the Courts; North Carolina Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission; Office of Indigent Defense Services.

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS: None

FISCAL RESEARCH DIVISION: (919) 733-4910

PREPARED BY: Kristine Leggett

APPROVED BY:

Mark Trogdon, Director
Fiscal Research Division

DATE: April 22, 2015



Signed Copy Located in the NCGA Principal Clerk's Offices

⁴ Due to the effective date of December 1, 2015 and the typical lag time between charge and conviction (6 months), little impact is assumed for CCS in FY 2015-16. Though some offenders may come under CCS supervision during this time, this note assumes an even entry over the course of FY 2016-17.